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Abstract

The purpose of this study was to develop a regression equation to predict maximal oxygen consumption (VO2max) based on non-exercise (N-EX) data and to investigate the validity of these equations in healthy young men. One hundred healthy men (age: 18-26 years) were randomly separated in two equal groups (n=50). All participants, successfully completed a maximal graded exercise test (GXT) to assess VO2max (Mean = 45.56 ml × kg⁻¹ × min⁻¹, SD = 4.14). The N-EX data collected just before the maximal GXT including participants’ age; body mass index (BMI); perceived functional ability (PFA) to walk, jog, or run given distances; and current physical activity (PA-R) level. Methodological differences among these methods (new equation and GXT in the validation group) were analyzed with Bland-Altman (1986) method. A good correlation coefficients were observed between VO2max and body mass index (BMI) (r = -0.50), PFA (r = 0.71) and PA-R (r = 0.70) in the development group (p< 0.05). Multiple linear regression generated the following N-EX prediction equation: VO2max (ml × kg⁻¹ × min⁻¹) = 47.718 – (0.38516 × BMI) + (0.8541 × PA-R) + (0.2539 × PFA). When new VO2max prediction equation were applied to the validation group, high agreement were also observed between measured VO2max by GXT and predicted VO2max (mean±SD: 0.58±2.53) (p< 0.05). This study provided an N-EX regression model that yields relatively accurate results and it is a convenient way to predict VO2max in Azerbaijan–Iranian young men with a similar cardiorespiratory fitness level. Authors recommend further studies to elucidate generality of the new equation.
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摘 要

本研究旨在計算預測年青人最大攝氧量的公式, 邀請了100位健康男士, 分成兩組進行測驗。結果顯示, 推算最大攝氧量的公式如下: VO2max (ml × kg⁻¹ × min⁻¹) = 47.718 – (0.38516 × BMI) + (0.8541 × PA-R) + (0.2539 × PFA)。
Introduction

Cardiorespiratory fitness (CRF) is the ability to perform dynamic, moderate-to-high intensity exercise with the large muscle groups for long periods of time (ACSM, 2000). Cardiorespiratory fitness depends on the respiratory, cardiovascular, and skeletal muscle systems and, therefore, is an important component of health and physical fitness. The assessment of CRF is valuable when teaching individuals about their overall fitness status, developing exercise programs, and stratifying cardiovascular risk (Bradshaw et al, 2005). The ability to perform aerobic exercise is associated with the level of aerobic power or maximal oxygen consumption (VO2_max) which is generally recognized and frequently used as the best single index of individuals cardiorespiratory fitness (Armstrong, Welsman, & Kirby, 1988; Larsen et al, 2002). The most precise assessments of VO2_max performed directly in a laboratory setting using specialized equipments where highly motivated subjects perform a maximal graded test (GXT) until exhaustion while using calorimetric analysis of expired gases (Dolgener et al, 1994; Grant, Joseph, & Campagna, 1999; Greenhalgh, George, & Hager, 2001; Larsen, 2002). VO2_max is the most accurate parameter to assess CRF, however, the test requires expensive equipments, considerable space to setup the equipments, and high skill personnel to run the test. In addition, maximal GXT is not applicable on some individuals because the test requires strenuous exercise to the point of volitional exhaustion. Because of this, some older or higher-risk individuals should not perform the test without medical supervision (Bradshaw et al, 2005). Therefore, fitness testing or testing larger population is not always practical by means of measuring maximal oxygen consumption (Dolgener et al, 1994; Grant, Joseph, & Campagna, 1999; Greenhalgh, George, & Hager, 2001; Larsen, 2002). Due to the possible drawbacks of maximal GXTs and direct measurement of VO2_max, submaximal exercise tests are available and provide an acceptable prediction of CRF and VO2_max. Submaximal exercise tests using prediction variables such as age, gender, body mass, exercise pace, and exercise heart rate to estimate VO2_max (ACSM, 2002). Although submaximal exercise is not accurate as maximal GXTs, but it is easier to perform, require less time and efforts to complete, and can be administered at lower costs and lower risk (Bradshaw et al, 2005). These tests use a variety of exercise modes including cycle ergometry, stepping and walking, jogging or running on a treadmill or track (ACSM, 2000; Donnelly et al, 1992; George et al, 1993; Oja et al, 1991). Other methods that do not require exercise are also available to predict VO2_max (ACSM, 2000). Non-exercise (N-EX) regression equations provided a convenient estimate of CRF without dependency to perform a maximal or submaximal exercise test (Davis et al, 2002; Larsen et al, 2002). This approach is inexpensive, time-efficient, and realistic for large groups. To date, N-EX predictor variables are include age, gender, BMI, percent body fat, physical activity rating (PA-R) (Heil et al, 1995; Jackson et al, 1990) and perceived functional ability (PFA) (Bradshaw et al, 2005; George et al, 1997). The PFA includes simple questions which ask from subjects to rate their ability to exercise at a comfortable pace for one and three miles. Studies show N-EX equations are relatively accurate and provide a quick and easy way to predict VO2_max (George et al, 1997, Heil et al, 1995, Jackson et al, 1990). Therefore, CRF prediction models can be used to reasonably characterize the fitness level of a cohort using data that can be obtained from a questionnaire. Accordingly, predicted CRF values may be useful as an exposure variable in large epidemiologic studies in which exercise testing is not feasible (Matthews et al, 1999). The developing of non-exercise (N-EX) prediction equation in independent groups is importance, because VO2_max is based on many different physiological characteristics, it has become a common descriptive variable like stature, body mass, age (Howley, Bassett Jr, & Welch, 1995), gender and potential ethnic-related and CRF may vary between subgroups of the population. In additional, same as all self-reported data, a given response to the PFA and PA-R questions may be influenced by a variety of social, cognitive, and psychological factors (George, Stone, & Burkett, 1997).

The purpose of this study was to develop a regression equation to predict maximal oxygen consumption (VO2_max) base on non-exercise (N-EX) data and to investigate the validity of these equations in Azerbaijan -Iranian young men.

Materials and Methods

Participants: one hundred healthy university students (age: 18-26 years) recruited in the study. Participants were randomly separated into two similar groups: Fifty subjects in the development group and another 50 subjects in the validation group. Prior to participation, volunteers read and signed an information consent sheet and completed a physical activity and health readiness questionnaire.
that was previously approved by the University Ethical Committee (Wasserman et al., 1999).

**Procedures**

Measurements performed by exercise physiologists in the Sport Physiology laboratory (43% humidity, 25°C). Their height and body weight were measured and recorded while wearing lightweight clothing without shoes, to the nearest 0.1 cm and 0.1 kg using SECA electronic height and weight scale. The mean values of two measurements were used for data analysis. All participants were instructed to get sufficient sleep (6-8 h) and avoid food, caffeine, tobacco-products or alcohol 3 h prior to testing (27). Before completing the test, each participant read a page of written test instructions. Instructions about the maximal GXT procedures including the protocols, heart rate monitoring and rating of perceived exertion (RPE) scale (Borg, 1982) were given to all participants prior to testing. All participants completed a maximal treadmill GXT.

Maximal Treadmill Graded Exercise Test: Participants performed a maximal GXT using a maximal protocol that developed by Georgy et al. (1993). The treadmill exercise protocol was completed on a Quinton 3.0 treadmill (Quinton. Club track, model: 3.0, USA). Following the warm-up, participants rested for ~5 minutes while the test administrator explained the test procedures for the maximal GXT. Following 3 min of walking, participants jogged at the self selected speed between 4.3 mph and 7.5 mph at the zero grade level for an additional 3 min. The treadmill grade was then increased 2.5% every minute (constant speed) until participants exhausted. The participants’ heart rate (HR) and RPE score was recorded at the end of each stage. The VO2 were computed, averaged, and printed by computer every 15 seconds. At the end of exercise heart rate and treadmill speed measured and recorded for each subject. The recorded heart rate at the end of exercise was considered as peak HR for GXT test and was implemented to measurement VO2max.

Non-exercise Questionnaire: Prior to exercise testing participants completed the PFA (George, D., Stone, & Burkett, 1997) and a modified PA-R non-exercise questionnaires (Bradshaw et al, 2005; George, D., Stone, & Burkett, 1997; Jackson et al, 1990). The PFA includes two questions that ascertain how fast participants feel they can cover a 1 and 3 mile distance at a comfortable pace. Sum of both 13-point questions is counted as the PFA score (range 2-26). The original PA-R questionnaire rate their level of activity on a 7-point scale over the past month. However, Kolkhorst and Dolgener (1994) noted that an extended time reference might represent participants’ overall average physical activity level more accurately (Kolkhorst, & Dolgener, 1994). Thus, the PA-R questionnaire was modified with a longer 6-month time reference and expanded 10-point scale.

**Statistics**

Multiple linear regression test was used to create a VO2max regression model using BMI, PFA, and PA-R as predictor variables. The validity of the VO2max equation (new equation and GXT in the validation group) was evaluated based on the Bland-Altman (1986) method (Bland, & Altman, 1986). Bland-Altman analysis is a statistical method where compares a mean difference against average values from two different methods (differences between VO2max for each subject were plotted against each subjects mean VO2max of the same two methods to explore any difference in agreement between measurement methods). The solid line on each plot represents the mean of differences and the variations between methods are then presented as a ±2 SD, which represents 95% limit of agreement (the dashed lines). The data were analyzed using MedCalc software, version 8.2.1.0. The level of statistical significance was set at a probability of P<0.05 for all tests.

**Results**

Descriptive statistics for the total sample (development and validation groups) are presented in Table 1. All participants achieved a valid VO2max during the maximum GXT with the average (± SD) VO2max equal to 45.56 ± 4.14 ml. kg⁻¹.min⁻¹. Corresponding HRmax (196.85±8.1 beats.min⁻¹) and maximum RPE scores (19.53±0.65) were all indicative of maximum effort. Participants PFA and PA-R scores ranged from 2 to 26 and 1 to 10, respectively. Each independent variable was statistically significant (p < 0.05) in predicting VO2max. Correlations were observed between VO2max and body mass index (BMI) (r = -0.50), PFA (r = 0.71) and PA-R (r = 0.70) in the development group (Table 2). Figure 1 (a-c) provides scatter plots of independent variables versus observed VO2max values for the N-EX regression model in the development group. Multiple linear regression generated the following N-EX prediction equation:
VO₂max (ml. kg⁻¹.min⁻¹) = 47.718 – (0.38516 x BMI) + (0.8541 x PA-R) + (0.2539 x PFA) (Table 2).

Bland-Altman analysis was calculated as a mean difference against average values with ±2SD for VO₂max between GXT and new N-EX equation prediction in validation group (Figure 1.d). The Results of Bland-Altman analysis showed that predicted VO₂max by new N-EX equation has high agreement with GXT method (narrowest margins between limits of agreement).

### Table 1. Descriptive Statistics for Physical Characteristics, Exercise and N-EX Data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Total n =100</th>
<th>Development group n =50</th>
<th>Validation group n =50</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (yr)</td>
<td>20.43±1.61</td>
<td>20.42±1.51</td>
<td>20.45±1.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>174.86±4.91</td>
<td>175.42±5.26</td>
<td>174.3±4.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>68.93±8.29</td>
<td>69.53±8.34</td>
<td>68.33±8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI(kg/m²)</td>
<td>22.55±2.54</td>
<td>22.63±2.72</td>
<td>22.48±2.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>self-reported BMI</td>
<td>22.95±2.75</td>
<td>22.85±2.97</td>
<td>23.06±2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body fat (%)</td>
<td>12.19±3.57</td>
<td>12.36±3.87</td>
<td>12.02±3.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Maximal Treadmill Test

VO₂max (ml.kg⁻¹.min⁻¹)

| HRmax (beats. min⁻¹) | 45.56±4.14 | 45.79±4.23 | 45.34±4.01 |
| RPEmax (15-point scale) | 196.85±8.1 | 195.55±7.86 | 198.15±8.24 |
|                      | 19.53±0.65 | 19.51±0.69 | 19.55±0.62 |

### N-EX Questions

PFA

| PA-R | 12.71±5.7 | 12.85±5.8 | 12.55±5.51 |
|      | 3.93±1.9  | 4.1±2.09  | 3.77±1.732  |

All data = mean ± SD.

PFA = perceived functional ability (2-26 point scale).

PA-R = physical activity rating (10 point scale).

### Table 2. New N-EX VO₂max regression equation in the development group (n=50).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zero Order Correlation Coefficients</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>SDE</th>
<th>Coefficient</th>
<th>Variable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>-0.501</td>
<td>0.0223</td>
<td>-2.388</td>
<td>0.16129</td>
<td>-0.38516</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.713</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>2.765</td>
<td>0.09183</td>
<td>0.25390</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.706</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>3.488</td>
<td>0.24488</td>
<td>0.85410</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Multiple correlation coefficient = 0.821
Coefficient of determination = 0.6741
R-adjusted = 0.6469
Figure 1. Scatter plots, regression lines and correlation coefficients between mass specific GXT VO₂ max (ml. kg⁻¹.min⁻¹) and value of the independent variable: BMI (a), PA-R (b) and PFA (c) in the development group. The solid lines depicted are the least-squares derived best fitting lines. Dashed curves represent a 95% confidence interval for the regression line. Bland-Altman (1986) plots comparing GXT VO₂max against new equation (d). The x-axis represents the mean of both GXT VO₂max and new equation VO₂max for each subject; the y-axis represents the difference between GXT VO₂max and predicted new equation VO₂max for each subject. A solid line represents the mean of differences; dashed lines represent the upper and lower limits of agreement (mean ±2SD). All data based on VO₂max (ml.kg⁻¹.min⁻¹) determined from methods.

Discussion

The N-EX regression model developed in this study predicts maximal oxygen consumption (VO₂max) accurately (Mean±SD: 0.58±2.5 ml.kg⁻¹.min⁻¹) in this study. The Bland-Altman plots (Figure 1.d) describe the agreement (how close one measure compares to another) in VO₂max between GXT method and new N-EX equation prediction in validation group and it is suggested be more useful indication compared to r, r², and SEE as to whether one method can be a valid substitution for another (Bland, & Altman, 1986). The correlations between PA-R, body mass index (BMI), PFA and observed VO₂max (ml.kg⁻¹.min⁻¹) in the development group equaled 0.70, -0.50 and 0.71 respectively (Table 2). Thus, for these college students the PFA (a self report of one's perceived ability to perform aerobic-type exercise), BMI and PA-R data were as valuable in explaining observed VO₂max variance as were actual aerobic-type exercise data (Figure 1. a, b and c). A number of studies have documented the relationship between self-report physical activity and VO₂max (1,14,17,19,25). The original PA-R (7-point scale) developed by Jackson et al. (1990) demonstrated a zero-order correlation with observed VO₂max (expressed in ml.kg⁻¹.min⁻¹) equal to 0.59.
Additional predictor variables important in VO2max estimation include age and body mass (or body composition). Various studies have shown age to be inversely related to VO2max with typical age-related decrements in VO2max averaging about 4 ml·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹ per decade in adults as demonstrated by cross-sectional studies (George et al, 1997; Stamford, 1988). However, because this study involved a homogeneous sample of college students (aged 18-26 yr), age was not statistically significant in predicting VO2max and consequently was dropped from the regression model. Various studies have also shown body mass, BMI, and/or body composition (% fat) to be meaningful predictor variables in VO2max regression models (Ainsworth, 1992; Ebbeling et al, 1991; Jackson et al, 1980). Interestingly, the present sample of college students were relatively accurate (on average) in self-reporting their body mass and height. We elected to use self-reported BMI as the predictor variable (Table 1) instead of self-reported body mass since self-reported BMI may be a more meaningful predictor variable in the educational and/or research setting. It is possible to measure body mass and height (and calculate BMI scores) and not have it self-reported, which may help to decrease some possible error. However, whether body mass and height are measured or self-reported probably has little bearing on the VO2max prediction as reported by Jackson et al. (1990) and George et al. (1997).

Finally, the present self-report N-EX regression model (Table 2) is unique in that VO2max estimations can be computed entirely from questionnaire-based data. As such, this regression model may prove useful in large sample epidemiological studies when it is not feasible to measure the CRF of each subject and in large university wellness when traditional exercise tests are not practical to administer. Future work is now warranted to evaluate the specific utility and accuracy of self-report N-EX regression models in a variety of settings. The present N-EX regression models (Table 2) should provide valid estimations of VO2max for Azerbaijan–Iranian collegiate...
men student’s who possess typical CRF scores. However, future cross validation of the present N-EX regression model is recommended prior to their use in older (≥30 yr) populations. In the event that the present N-EX regression equation is employed to estimate the VO2max in older (≥30 yr) individuals, an appropriate age-correction factor should be used to account for the effect that age has on VO2max.

Conclusion

In conclusion, the new N-EX prediction model developed in this study provides an N-EX regression model that yields relatively accurate results and is a convenient way to predict VO2max in Azerbaijan –Iranian male collegiate students with a similar cardiorespiratory fitness level. The accuracy of this N-EX model is similar to many of the popular N-EX models. The results show that the modified PA-R variable significantly improves the ability of the regression model to accurately predict VO2max. Future studies are needed to evaluate the accuracy and generalizability of the PFA variable and N-EX regression model in a variety of samples. Although the N-EX regression models developed in this study appear to provide a valid and convenient method for predicting VO2max in Azerbaijan–Iranian collegiate men student’s, further cross validation of the equation is recommended prior to use in other samples.
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